Release notes for ENDF/B Development n-011_Na_023 evaluation



April 26, 2017

• psyche Warnings:

1. Strength function in URR not in agreement with PSYCHE's expectations FILE 2 / SECTION 151 / ISOTOPE MASS = 23. L=0 / STRENGTH FUNCTION IS 1.04956E-05 / STRENGTH FUNCTION 1.04956E-05 / LIES OUTSIDE LIMITS 1.00000E-04 TO 9.00000E-04 (0): URR str. ftn.

FILE 2

SECTION 151

ISOTOPE MASS = 23. L = 0

STRENGTH FUNCTION IS 1.04956E-05

STRENGTH FUNCTION 1.04956E-05

... [1 more lines]

2. Gamma width not in agreement with PSYCHE's expectations FILE 2 / SECTION 151 / ISOTOPE MASS = 23. L = 1 / AT RESONANCE ENERGY 7.61700E+03 EV. THE GAMMA WIDTH 6.00000E-01 DEVIATES TOO MUCH FROM THE AVERAGE 3.58250E+00 (0): Gamma width

FILE 2

SECTION 151

ISOTOPE MASS = 23. L = 1

AT RESONANCE ENERGY 7.61700E+03 EV. THE GAMMA WIDTH 6.00000E-01 DEVIATES TOO MUCH FROM THE AV

3. Gamma width not in agreement with PSYCHE's expectations FILE 2 / SECTION 151 / ISOTOPE MASS = 23. L = 1 / AT RESONANCE ENERGY 5.32200E+04 EV. THE GAMMA WIDTH 7.85000E-01 DEVIATES TOO MUCH FROM THE AVERAGE 3.58250E+00 (0): Gamma width

FILE 2

SECTION 151

ISOTOPE MASS = 23. L = 1

AT RESONANCE ENERGY 5.32200E+04 EV. THE GAMMA WIDTH 7.85000E-01 DEVIATES TOO MUCH FROM THE AV

4. Gamma width not in agreement with PSYCHE's expectations FILE 2 / SECTION 151 / ISOTOPE MASS = 23. L=2 / AT RESONANCE ENERGY 2.36710E+05 EV. THE GAMMA WIDTH 1.59000E+00 DEVIATES TOO MUCH FROM THE AVERAGE 5.88000E+00 (0): Gamma width

FILE 2

SECTION 151

ISOTOPE MASS = 23. L = 2

AT RESONANCE ENERGY 2.36710E+05 EV. THE GAMMA WIDTH 1.59000E+00 DEVIATES TOO MUCH FROM THE AV

- linear Errors:
 - 1. Negative cross section found θ : Neg. Sig(E)

Linearize ENDF/B Cross Sections (LINEAR 2015-1)

Retrieval Criteria----- MAT Monitor Mode----- Off

Minimum Cross Section----- 1.0000E-10 (Default Option)

... [72 more lines]

- recent Warnings:
 - 1. Competative widths aren't all zero like they're supposed to be $\theta\colon LRX{=}\theta$

- fudge-4.0 Warnings:
 - 1. Missing a channel with a particular angular momenta combination resonances / resolved (Error # 1): missingResonanceChannel

```
WARNING: Missing a channel with angular momenta combination L=0, J=0.0 and S=0.0 for "capture" WARNING: Missing a channel with angular momenta combination L=1, J=1.0 and S=0.0 for "capture" WARNING: Missing a channel with angular momenta combination L=1, J=1.0 and S=1.0 for "capture" WARNING: Missing a channel with angular momenta combination L=1, J=2.0 and S=1.0 for "capture" ... plus 6 more instances of this message
```

2. For distributions, flat interpolation along incident energy is unphysical! production label 24: /reactionSuite/reactions/production[@label='24'] / Product: gamma / Distribution: / uncorrelated - energy - XYs2d: (Error # 0): flatIncidentEnergyInterpolation

WARNING: For distributions, flat interpolation along incident energy is unphysical!

3. Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections $crossSectionSum\ label\ 0:\ total\ (Error\ \#\ 0):\ CS\ Sum.$

WARNING: Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections! Max diff: 12.07%

4. Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections crossSectionSum label 1: nonelastic (Error # 0): CS Sum.

WARNING: Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections! Max diff: 1.17%

5. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes. Section 1 (n + Na23): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

6. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes. Section 2 ((z,n)): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

7. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

Section 3 (n[multiplicity:'2'] + Na22): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

8. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

Section 3 (n[multiplicity:'2'] + Na22): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

9. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

Section 4 (Na24 + gamma): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (4.246430e-11) is too small

10. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

Section 5 (H1 + Ne23-s): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

11. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

Section 6 (He4 + F20-s): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

12. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.

Section 7 (n + Na23 [angular distribution]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 1): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

• fudge-4.0 Errors:

1. The spin statistical weights are off, indicating missing channels resonances / resolved / MultiLevel_BreitWigner (Error # 0): badSpinStatisticalWeights

WARNING: The spin statical weights for L=1 sums to 2.0, but should sum to 3.0. You have too few channels for reWARNING: The spin statical weights for L=2 sums to 1.875, but should sum to 5.0. You have too few channels for

2. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree. reaction label 20: n[multiplicity:'2'] + Na22 (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -12678175.35817719 eV vs -1.2414e7 eV!

3. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree. reaction label 21: Na24 + gamma (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 6700137.276607513 eV vs 6959490. eV!

4. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree. reaction label 22: $H1 + Ne23_s$ (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -3852902.2970047 eV vs -3.597e6 eV!

5. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree. reaction label 23: He4 + F20_s (Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -4125488.268978119 eV vs -3.866e6 eV!

6. A covariance matrix was not positive semi-definite, so it has negative eigenvalues. Section 7 (n + Na23 [angular distribution]): / Form 'eval': / LegendreLValue L=1 vs 1 (Error # 0): Bad evs

WARNING: 6 negative eigenvalues! Worst case = -2.321350e-06

- njoy2012 Warnings:
 - 1. Evaluation has no unresolved resonance parameters given unresr...calculation of unresolved resonance cross sections (0): No URR
 - ---message from unresr---mat 1125 has no unresolved parameters copy as is to nout
 - 2. Evaluation has no unresolved resonance parameters given purr...probabalistic unresolved calculation (0): No URR
 - ---message from purr---mat 1125 has no unresolved parameters copy as is to nout $\,$
 - 3. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!

 groupr...compute self-shielded group-averaged cross-sections (0): GROUPR/conver (0)
 - ---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 16 only mf4/mf5 provided
 - 4. With the advent of the ENDF-6 format, it is possible to make evaluations that fully describe all the products of a nuclear reaction. Some carry-over evaluations from earlier ENDF/B versions also have this capability, but many do not. This message is intended to goad evaluators to improve things!

 group-averaged cross-sections (1): GROUPR/conver (0)
 - ---message from conver---cannot do complete particle production for mt= 91 only mf4/mf5 provided
 - 5. Only partial urr covariance data was given.

 errorr...produce cross section covariances (0): ERRORR/resprx (5)
 - ---message from resprx---mf2 nls=3, but mf32 nls=0 continue with partial urr covariance data
 - 6. No scattering radius uncertainty given.

 errorr...produce cross section covariances (1): ERRORR/rpxlc12 (0)
 - ---message from rpxlc12---no scattering radius uncertainty

- 7. Generic warning message
 errorr...produce cross section covariances (2): Warning

 ---message from rpxlc12---resonance parameter loop done

 9.4s

 8. Generic warning message
 errorr...produce cross section covariances (3): Warning

 ---message from rpxlc12---sensitivity calculation continues

 9.5s

 9. Generic warning message
 errorr...produce cross section covariances (4): Warning

 ---message from rpxlc12---sensitivity calculation completed

 9.7s
- acelst Warnings:
 - 1. generic warning message θ : Warning

ACELST WARNING - More than one range for MF/MT 6 91 STOP ACELST Completed

- endf2htm Warnings:
 - 1. Build of a section of the HTML page failed because the format hasn't been implemented in ENDF2HTM. MF32MT151: Unimplemented

At line 2659 of file endf.f Fortran runtime error: Bad value during integer read